

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,434	08/23/2006	Yoshio Katsuda	128737	8694
25944 7590 04/28/2010 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 320850			JOYNER, KEVIN	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/28/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction25944@oliff.com jarmstrong@oliff.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/590,434	KATSUDA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
KEVIN C. JOYNER	1797		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely fixed to the communication of the communication o				
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 February 2010.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-13</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-13</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)				

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(c) (FTO/SB/CC)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date

- Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: _____

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 23, 2010 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. More specifically, the specification does not provide support that the rotary drive device separately rotates each individual mesh unit comprising the chemical retainer.

Art Unit: 1797

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 1, 3 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Courbon (U.S. Patent No. 3,765,155) in view of Bolduc (U.S. Publication No. 2003/0205137).

Courbon discloses a chemical volatilization device comprising:

A chemical retainer (4);

A protective case (1) that houses the chemical retainer (Figure 6); and

A rotary drive device (3);

The protective case comprising:

An upper portion (7) and a lower portion (Figures 1 and 6);

A plurality of retaining frames (defining orifices 10) surrounding the outer circumference of the chemical retainer (Figure 8); and

A bearing (the support and guide for a rotating shaft; 6) in the center of the protective case that is able to engage with a rotating shaft (shown below bearing in Figure 6) of the rotary drive device; and

Wherein the rotary drive device rotates the chemical retainer (column 3, lines 19-

40). More specifically, the foam filter of Courbon is capable of retaining a chemical and

Art Unit: 1797

is therefore a chemical retainer (column 3, lines 13-20). Courbon does not appear to disclose that the apparatus comprises a mesh constituent unit or that the chemical retainer comprises a plurality of chemical retaining fibers in the form of a regular mesh with individual mesh units in two dimensional directions on both an upper and lower side of the chemical retainer, and a plurality of supportive chemical retaining fibers arranged between the chemical retaining fibers, which are located on the upper and lower sides of the chemical retainer and connect the chemical retaining fibers on both the upper and lower sides in the mesh constituent unit as a result of having bending elasticity.

Bolduc discloses a chemical volatilization device comprising a chemical retainer (Figures 1, 4 and 6-9) made of fibers and a mesh constituent unit as well as a protective case (26) that houses the chemical retainer (paragraphs 15-18). The reference continues to disclose that the chemical retainer comprises a plurality of chemical retaining fibers (14 & 16) in the form of a regular mesh with individual mesh units (Figures 1 and 9) in two dimensional directions on both an upper and lower side of the chemical retainer, and a plurality of supportive chemical retaining fibers (20 and 34) arranged between the chemical retaining fibers, which are located on the upper and lower sides of the chemical retainer and connect the chemical retaining fibers on both the upper and lower sides in the mesh constituent unit as a result of having bending elasticity in order to secure a chemical retainer within a chemical volatilization device and produce an immobilization network that inhibits the growth and kills microbes in air (paragraphs 42-46; paragraphs 54-56). More specifically, the chemical retaining fibers (14 & 16) are a woven fabric (paragraph 54) that is provided with a first set of a plurality

Art Unit: 1797

of strands in a first direction and a second set of a plurality of strands in a second direction that is perpendicular to the first direction. Thus, the chemical retainer is provided with a plurality of chemical retaining fibers in the form of a regular mesh in two directions on both the upper and lower sides of the chemical retainer. The supportive chemical retaining fibers (20 and 34) are located between the chemical retaining fibers and connect said chemical retaining fibers on both the upper and lower sides (Figure 9) in the mesh constituent unit (the mesh constituent unit being a unit that composes or makes up the chemical retainer as broadly defined), wherein said supportive fibers are made of a material having a bending elasticity (paragraphs 44-46), wherein each individual mesh unit (44) will separately move during the passage of air. As such, the limitations are met with respect to Bolduc. Concerning claim 3, Bolduc also discloses that the supportive chemical retaining fibers form a columnar structure as a result of the fastening means (46), which arranges each unit of supportive chemical retaining fibers in a roughly parallel vertical direction (Figure 9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the chemical retainer of Courbon to include a chemical retainer made of a mesh constituent unit that comprises a plurality of chemical retaining fibers in the form of a regular mesh with individual mesh units in two dimensional directions on both an upper and lower side of the chemical retainer, and a plurality of supportive columnar structured chemical retaining fibers arranged between the chemical retaining fibers, and connect the chemical retaining fibers on both the upper and lower sides in the mesh constituent unit as a result of having bending elasticity, wherein said individual mesh units will

Application/Control Number: 10/590,434

Art Unit: 1797

separately rotate during operation of the rotary drive device in order to secure a chemical retainer within a chemical volatilization device and produce an immobilization network that inhibits the growth and kills microbes in air as exemplified by Bolduc.

Concerning claim 10, Bolduc also discloses small gap chemical retaining fibers (20), which have a smaller gap than the chemical retaining fibers (14 and 16), and which are connected to the chemical retaining fibers on both sides, and are arranged between the chemical retaining fibers on the upper and lower sides (Figures 1 and 9). As such, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the chemical retainer of Bolduc comprising small gap chemical retaining fibers, which have a smaller gap than the chemical retaining fibers, and are connected to the chemical retaining fibers on both sides, arranged between the chemical retaining fibers on the upper and lower sides in the device of Courbon in order to secure a chemical retainer within a chemical volatilization device and produce an immobilization network that inhibits the growth and kills microbes in air as exemplified by Bolduc.

With regard to claim 11, Courbon in view of Bolduc does not appear to disclose a plurality of chemical retainers consisting of chemical-retaining fibers arranged on the upper and lower sides and the supportive chemical retaining fibers arranged therebetween are overlapped. However, The Manual of Patent Examining Procedures discloses that in In re Harza, 274, F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960), a mere duplication of parts for a multiplied effect has no patentable significance unless a new

Application/Control Number: 10/590,434

Art Unit: 1797

and unexpected result is produced (See MPEP 2144.04). Therefore, the claimed

Furthermore, Claim 12 requires that the distance between the chemical retaining mesh fibers on both sides is 1.0 to 10.0 mm. It would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize the distance of the chemical- retaining mesh fibers in order to maximize the efficiency and effectiveness of the purification process. Only the expected results would be attained. With regard to claim 13, Courbon continues to disclose that the protective case surrounds the upper and lower sides of the chemical retainer with an upper portion (7) and a lower portion respectively (Figures 1 and 4).

 Claims 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Courbon (U.S. Patent No. 3,765,155) in view of Bolduc (U.S. Publication No. 2003/0205137) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of D'Amico et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2006/0110297).

Concerning claims 4-9, Courbon is relied upon as set forth above. Courbon does not appear to disclose supportive chemical retaining fibers that form a diagonal structure as a result of being arranged to intersect on an angle in the vertical direction, wherein the diagonal structure is formed so as to connect apices together located on the same side, and opposite sides, based on all four directions in the mesh unit corresponding to upper and lower sides. D'Amico discloses a chemical volatilization device comprising a chemical retainer (10) made of fibers comprising chemical retaining

Application/Control Number: 10/590,434

Art Unit: 1797

fibers (as shown in Figure 12) and supportive chemical retaining fibers (13 & 82) located on the upper and lower sides of the chemical retainer (paragraphs 56 and 65-67). The reference continues to disclose that the supportive chemical retaining fibers form a diagonal structure as a result of being arranged to intersect on an angle in the vertical direction, wherein the diagonal structure is formed so as to connect apices together located on the same side, and opposite sides, based on all four directions in the mesh unit corresponding to upper and lower sides, and further discloses supportive chemical retaining fibers forming a columnar structure by being arranged roughly in parallel in a vertical direction (Figure 12) in order to create a larger surface area for said fibers with a contaminated fluid (paragraphs 19 and 20). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the chemical retainer of Courbon to utilize the supportive chemical retaining fibers in a diagonal structured direction as a result of being arranged to intersect on an angle in the vertical direction, wherein the diagonal structure is formed so as to connect apices together located on the same side, and opposite sides, based on all four directions in the mesh unit corresponding to upper and lower sides as well as a columnar structure by being arranged roughly in parallel in a vertical direction in order to maximize the surface area for said fibers with a contaminated fluid to ultimately reduce contaminants in the fluid as exemplified by D'Amico.

Page 8

Art Unit: 1797

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 4-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KEVIN C. JOYNER whose telephone number is (571)272-2709. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KCJ

/Kevin C Joyner/ Examiner, Art Unit 1797

Art Unit: 1797